

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/059,551	01/29/2002	Brry Stewart Smith	•	8120
7590 10/08/2003			EXAMINER	
Barry Stewart Smith			DONNELLY, JEROME W	
1921 Gates Avenue #B Redondo Beach, CA 90278			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3764	1
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/ <b>Y</b> K				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
* <del>-</del>	10/059551	Smith				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerome W Donnelly	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	_					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on/	1 <u>-29-0</u> 2					
• 1	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) / 2 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. /-8 and 17 22						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 9-/6						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	_					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pfo 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes#	- 1					
Attachment(s)  Jerome W. Donnelly						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/059,551

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 9-16, 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants use of the word "and/or" is indefinite for failing to distinctly claim applicants invention.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu.

Yu discloses a device comprising a first means (52), a second means (60) a third means (42) and a forth means (32) including mechanical links (53, 33, 20 and 43) interconnecting said first, second and third means, a seat 31 and a fifth means elements 70 capable of applying resistance to first and second means, said resistance being adjustable by using of or two of elements (70).

In regard to claim 3, the application is so vague as to what the forth means encompass, thereby allowing the examiner to read the forth means (32) of Yu et al as reading on the forth means claimed in claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/059,551

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 7, and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu.

Yu discloses a seat (31) a second means (512, 52) for providing resistance as user moves a leg into an extended position and a third means for providing resistance as a users arm, said second and third means affects resistance applied to a second limb.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (701).

Chen discloses a device comprising a frame (20) having a seat mounted thereon, an arm and a foot levers linked to said frame, a seat back (22) linked to frame (2)) and said arm and foot levers, so that actuation of said arm and foot levers causes actuation of said seat back. The seat (21) does not move in relationship to the frame.

Chen also discloses his feet support in the form of a single foot support having portions extending to accommodate both feet.

Given the above teaching of providing a plurality of portions for supporting feet, the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a plurality of separate extents for the feet or to provide a single extent with a plurality of section, either one, so long as the feet supports are functionally equivalents.

Art Unit: 3764

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number 308-2668.

Donnelly/DI

September 30, 2003

Jerome W. Donnelly Primary Examiner